Response Form for the Exposure Draft of the CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products

CFA Institute is developing voluntary, global industry standards, the CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products (the "Standards"), to establish disclosure requirements for investment products with ESG-related features. The purpose of the Standards is to provide greater transparency and consistency in ESG-related disclosures, resulting in clearer communication regarding the ESG-related features of investment products. The goal for this Exposure Draft is to elicit feedback on the proposed principles, requirements, and recommendations within the Standards. Please refer to the "Providing Feedback" guidelines for submitting comments. **All comments must be received by 14 July 2021 in order to be considered.**

Providing Feedback

Public commentary on the Exposure Draft will help shape the final version of the Standards, which is expected to be issued in November 2021. Comments should be provided in this Response Form, found <u>here</u> on the CFA Institute website, and submitted to <u>standards@cfainstitute.org</u>. Designated spaces for comments appear in the Response Form in the order in which the related topic sections appear in the Exposure Draft. Questions directed toward the Standards' intended users are posed in the Exposure Draft's Introduction, and these questions appear first in the Response Form, followed by designated spaces for comments related to the Guiding Principles, Provisions, and Glossary. General or summary comments on the Exposure Draft may be provided in the designated section at the end of the Response Form.

Each topic section in the Response Form contains a space for providing general comments pertaining to that section as well as spaces to provide comments for each provision in the section. When providing feedback on a specific provision, it may be helpful to consider whether the meaning of the provision is clearly stated and whether the provision will add value for users of the Standards. You may provide as few or as many comments as you wish.

The deadline for providing feedback is 14 July 2021. **Comments received after 14 July 2021 will not be considered**. Unless otherwise requested, all comments will be posted on the CFA Institute website.

Guidelines for submission

Comments are most useful when they:

- directly address a specific issue or question,
- provide a rationale and support for the opinions expressed, and
- suggest alternative solutions in the event of disagreement.

Positive comments in support of a proposal are equally as helpful as those that provide constructive suggestions for improvement.

Requirements for submission

In order for comments to be considered, please adhere to the following requirements:

- Insert responses in the designated areas of the response form.
- Assign a unique file name to your response form before submitting.
- Provide all comments in English.
- Submit the response form as a Microsoft Word document.
- Submit the response form to standards@cfainstitute.org by 5:00 PM E.T. on 14 July 2021.

General Information (required)

Respondent:	TD Asset Management Inc. (TDAM)
(Please enter your full name if you are submitting as an individual or the name of the organization if you are submitting on behalf of an organization.)	
Stakeholder Group:	Investment Manager
(Please select the stakeholder group with which you most closely identify.)	
Region:	North America
(If you are submitting as an individual, please select the region in which you live. If you are submitting on behalf of an organization and the organization has a significant presence in multiple regions, please select "Global". Otherwise, please select the region in which the organization has its main office.)	
Country:	Canada
(If you are submitting as an individual, please enter the country in which you live. If you are submitting on behalf of an organization, please enter the country in which the organization has its main office.)	
Confidentiality Preference:	yes, my response may be published
(Please select your preference for whether or not your response is published on the CFA Institute website.)	

QUESTIONS FOR INTENDED USERS

Questions for Investment Managers

1. Are the draft provisions helpful in establishing or clarifying the type of information that should be included in an investment product's disclosures regarding the ESG-related aspects of the investment product's strategy?

<QUESTION_01_01>

TDAM is generally of the view that the draft provisions are helpful in establishing and clarifying the type of information that should be included in an investment product's disclosures, however, there are areas where further clarification on the level and granularity of detail is required, including: ESG Information in Financial Analysis and Valuation, Process to Achieve Impact Objective, and Stewardship. <QUESTION 01 01>

2. To what extent are the draft provisions supportive of and complementary with local laws and regulations and other codes and standards? Would preparing and presenting a compliant presentation in any way hinder your ability to comply with local laws and regulation or with other codes and standards?

<QUESTION_01_02>

TDAM generally believes that the proposed draft provisions would not hinder TDAM's ability to comply with applicable Canadian laws, regulations, codes, or standards. Much of the material required for a compliant presentation already exists within our reporting, some of which is mandated by regulators, and others which are voluntary or for client-use only.

We are of the view that ESG disclosure standards that are comparable across jurisdictions would be a helpful and welcomed development so having a global body such as the CFA Institute put forward disclosure mechanisms is a positive. However, since these proposed draft disclosure standards are voluntary, adoption across the industry may lag relative to disclosure processes mandated by regulatory bodies. It is our expectation that ESG product disclosure standards will be mandated by some regulators in the near future to avoid inconsistencies in reporting and to ensure comparability across all investment products with ESG features. We hope that the proposed draft disclosure provisions put forward by the CFA Institute can act as a step towards regulators can perhaps base their eventual disclosure rules on. <QUESTION_01_02>

3. Do you expect it will be feasible and practical for your organization to provide the information required by the draft disclosure provisions and adhere to the draft fundamental provisions?

<QUESTION_01_03>

While there would be additional costs and resources required in order to build a compliant presentation, TDAM expects that it would be feasible for our organization to provide the information required by the proposed draft disclosure provisions. As previously mentioned above, much of the material required for a compliant presentation already exists within our

current mandatory and/or voluntary reporting. The additional work and time required to compile the information into a compliant presentation is an additional cost of doing business. Given that the disclosures are voluntary, if a firm does not see any benefit to preparing a CFA compliant ESG disclosure, they do not have to bear the cost of preparing and maintaining such disclosure.

<QUESTION_01_03>

4. To what extent would a compliant presentation proactively provide to asset owners, consultants, and advisors the ESG-related information they commonly request in their Requests for Proposals (RFPs), Due Diligence Questionnaires (DDQs), and other types of questionnaires?

<QUESTION_01_04>

The proposed compliant presentation would, in our view, provide some of the information that is normally requested and/or required as part of RFPs and DDQs relating to ESG. At the same time, we see many ESG related RFPs and DDQs requesting greater detail regarding proxy voting activities, engagements and organization specific information relating to ESG. <QUESTION_01_04>

5. Would it be helpful if the Standards contained a recommended format or template for compliant presentations?

<QUESTION_01_05>

Yes, a template or recommended format would likely ease some of the work required in building a compliant presentation. Additionally, it will help build in some level of consistency in such disclosures across ESG investment products. This may aid in the understanding and comparability of such information for all users and may help prevent against issuers attempting to 'greenwash' their compliant presentation by using different structures or being overly wordy. <QUESTION_01_05>

Questions for Investors and Asset Owners

1. After reviewing the draft provisions and the sample compliant presentations, do you think a compliant presentation would help you understand how and why an investment product uses ESG information or addresses ESG issues?

<QUESTION_02_01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_02_01>

2. To what extent would a compliant presentation provide the ESG-related information that you typically request in your Requests for Proposals (RFPs), Due Diligence Questionnaires (DDQs), and other types of questionnaires? Is there information that you would like to see disclosed in a compliant presentation that is not required by the draft provisions? Is there information required by the draft provisions that is not necessary?

<QUESTION_02_02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_02_02>

3. Would the provision of compliant presentations by investment managers complement, streamline, or otherwise improve any of your existing processes, e.g., due diligence, certification, or reporting?

<QUESTION_02_03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_02_03>

4. Would you find it helpful if the Standards contained a recommended format or template for compliant presentations?

<QUESTION_02_04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_02_04>

Questions for Consultants and Advisors

1. After reviewing the draft provisions and the sample compliant presentations, do you think a compliant presentation would help you understand how and why an investment product uses ESG information or addresses ESG issues?

<QUESTION_03_01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_03_01>

2. Would a compliant presentation help facilitate client discussions regarding ESG-related needs and preferences and suitable investment products?

<QUESTION_03_02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_03_02>

3. To what extent would a compliant presentation provide the ESG-related information that you or your clients typically request in Requests for Proposals (RFPs), Due Diligence Questionnaires (DDQs), and other types of questionnaires? Is there information that you would like to see disclosed in a compliant presentation that is not required by the draft provisions? Is there information required by the draft provisions that is not necessary?

<QUESTION_03_03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_03_03>

4. Would the provision of compliant presentations by investment managers complement, streamline, or otherwise improve any of your existing processes, e.g., investment product due diligence or overall assessments of investment managers' capabilities?

<QUESTION_03_04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_03_04>

5. Would you find it helpful if the Standards contained a recommended format or template for compliant presentations?

<QUESTION_03_05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_03_05>

Questions for Database Providers and Users

1. To what extent would a compliant presentation provide the ESG-related information that users are looking for?

<QUESTION_04_01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_04_01>

2. Is it necessary, or would it be helpful, for compliant presentations to be in a standardized format? Would it be helpful if a machine-readable template was developed?

<QUESTION_04_02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_04_02>

Questions for regulators and investment professionals

1. Are the draft provisions helpful in establishing or clarifying the type of information that should be included in an investment product's disclosures regarding the ESG-related aspects of the investment product's strategy?

<QUESTION_05_01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_01>

2. Is there information that you would like to see disclosed in a compliant presentation that is not required by the draft provisions? Is there information required by the draft provisions that is not necessary?

<QUESTION_05_02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_02>

3. Would the Standards be helpful in maintaining a commitment to professional ethics and integrity?

<QUESTION_05_03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_03>

4. Would the Standards be helpful in providing investor protection through product transparency?

<QUESTION_05_04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_04>

5. Would the Standards be useful in serving as a mechanism to help investors align their ESG-related objectives with those of suitable products?

<QUESTION_05_05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_05>

6. Would the Standards be useful in serving as a mechanism to develop product labelling in your country?

<QUESTION_05_06> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <QUESTION_05_06>

GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR INVESTMENT PRODUCT DISCLOSURES

General comments on the Principles:

<COMMENT_00_00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_00>

Comments on Principle #1:

<COMMENT_00_01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_01>

Comments on Principle #2:

<COMMENT_00_02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_02>

Comments on Principle #3:

<COMMENT_00_03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_03>

Comments on Principle #4:

<COMMENT_00_04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_04>

Comments on Principle #5:

<COMMENT_00_05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_00_05>

SECTION 1: FUNDAMENTAL REQUIREMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

General comments on Section 1:

<COMMENT_01A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A00>

Comments on Provision 1.A.1:

<COMMENT_01A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A01>

Comments on Provision 1.A.2:

<COMMENT_01A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A02>

Comments on Provision 1.A.3:

<COMMENT_01A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A03>

Comments on Provision 1.A.4:

<COMMENT_01A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A04>

Comments on Provision 1.A.5:

<COMMENT_01A05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A05>

Comments on Provision 1.A.6:

<COMMENT_01A06> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A06>

Comments on Provision 1.A.7:

<COMMENT_01A07> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A07>

Comments on Provision 1.A.8:

<COMMENT_01A08> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A08>

Comments on Provision 1.A.9:

<COMMENT_01A09> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A09>

Comments on Provision 1.A.10:

<COMMENT_01A10> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A10>

Comments on Provision 1.A.11:

<COMMENT_01A11> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A11>

Comments on Provision 1.A.12:

<COMMENT_01A12> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A12>

Comments on Provision 1.A.13:

<COMMENT_01A13> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A13>

Comments on Provision 1.A.14:

<COMMENT_01A14> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A14>

Comments on Provision 1.A.15:

<COMMENT_01A15> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A15>

Comments on Provision 1.A.16:

<COMMENT_01A16> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A16>

Comments on Provision 1.A.17:

<COMMENT_01A17> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A17>

Comments on Provision 1.A.18:

<COMMENT_01A18> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A18>

Comments on Provision 1.A.19:

<COMMENT_01A19> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01A19>

Comments on Provision 1.B.1:

<COMMENT_01B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01B01>

Comments on Provision 1.B.2:

<COMMENT_01B02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_01B02>

SECTION 2: GENERAL INFORMATION

General comments on Section 2:

<COMMENT_02A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A00>

Comments on Provision 2.A.1:

<COMMENT_02A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A01>

Comments on Provision 2.A.2:

<COMMENT_02A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A02>

Comments on Provision 2.A.3:

<COMMENT_02A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A03>

Comments on Provision 2.A.4:

<COMMENT_02A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A04>

Comments on Provision 2.A.5:

<COMMENT_02A05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A05>

Comments on Provision 2.A.6:

<COMMENT_02A06> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A06>

Comments on Provision 2.A.7:

<COMMENT_02A07> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A07>

Comments on Provision 2.A.8:

<COMMENT_02A08> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02A08>

Comments on Provision 2.B.1:

<COMMENT_02B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_02B01>

SECTION 3: OBJECTIVES

General comments on Section 3:

<COMMENT_03A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_03A00>

Comments on Provision 3.A.1:

<COMMENT_03A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_03A01>

Comments on Provision 3.A.2:

<COMMENT_03A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_03A02>

Comments on Provision 3.B.1:

<COMMENT_03B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_03B01>

SECTION 4: BENCHMARKS

General comments on Section 4:

<COMMENT_04A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_04A00>

Comments on Provision 4.A.1:

<COMMENT_04A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_04A01>

Comments on Provision 4.A.2:

<COMMENT_04A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_04A02>

Comments on Provision 4.A.3:

<COMMENT_04A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_04A03>

SECTION 5: SOURCES AND TYPES OF ESG INFORMATION

General comments on Section 5:

<COMMENT_05A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_05A00>

Comments on Provision 5.A.1:

<COMMENT_05A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_05A01>

Comments on Provision 5.A.2:

<COMMENT_05A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_05A02>

SECTION 6: ESG EXCLUSIONS

General comments on Section 6:

<COMMENT_06A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_06A00>

Comments on Provision 6.A.1:

<COMMENT_06A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_06A01>

Comments on Provision 6.A.2:

<COMMENT_06A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_06A02>

Comments on Provision 6.A.3:

<COMMENT_06A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_06A03>

Comments on Provision 6.A.4:

<COMMENT_06A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_06A04>

SECTION 7: ESG INFORMATION IN FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND VALUATION

General comments on Section 7:

<COMMENT_07A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_07A00>

Comments on Provision 7.A.1:

<COMMENT_07A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_07A01>

Comments on Provision 7.A.2:

<COMMENT_07A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_07A02>

Comments on Provision 7.A.3:

<COMMENT_07A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_07A03>

Comments on Provision 7.A.4:

<COMMENT_07A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_07A04>

SECTION 8: PORTFOLIO-LEVEL ESG CRITERIA AND CHARACTERISTICS

General comments on Section 8:

<COMMENT_08A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_08A00>

Comments on Provision 8.A.1:

<COMMENT_08A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_08A01>

Comments on Provision 8.A.2:

<COMMENT_08A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_08A02>

Comments on Provision 8.B.1:

<COMMENT_08B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_08B01>

SECTION 9: PROCESS TO ACHIEVE IMPACT OBJECTIVE

General comments on Section 9:

<COMMENT_09A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A00>

Comments on Provision 9.A.1:

<COMMENT_09A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A01>

Comments on Provision 9.A.2:

<COMMENT_09A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A02>

Comments on Provision 9.A.3:

<COMMENT_09A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A03>

Comments on Provision 9.A.4:

<COMMENT_09A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A04>

Comments on Provision 9.A.5:

<COMMENT_09A05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09A05>

Comments on Provision 9.B.1:

<COMMENT_09B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_09B01>

SECTION 10: STEWARDSHIP

General comments on Section 10:

<COMMENT_10A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10A00>

Comments on Provision 10.A.1:

<COMMENT_10A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10A01>

Comments on Provision 10.A.2:

<COMMENT_10A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10A02>

Comments on Provision 10.A.3:

<COMMENT_10A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10A03>

Comments on Provision 10.A.4:

<COMMENT_10A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10A04>

Comments on Provision 10.B.1:

<COMMENT_10B01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_10B01>

GLOSSARY

General comments on Glossary:

<COMMENT_11A00> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A00>

Comments on **BENCHMARK**:

<COMMENT_11A01> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A01>

Comments on **COMPLIANT PRESENTATION**:

<COMMENT_11A02> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A02>

Comments on **ESG INFORMATION**:

<COMMENT_11A03> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A03>

Comments on ESG ISSUE:

<COMMENT_11A04> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A04>

Comments on EXCLUSION:

<COMMENT_11A05> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A05>

Comments on FINANCIAL OBJECTIVE:

<COMMENT_11A06> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A06>

Comments on IMPACT OBJECTIVE:

<COMMENT_11A07>

ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A07>

Comments on INVESTMENT MANAGER:

<COMMENT_11A08> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A08>

Comments on INVESTMENT PRODUCT:

<COMMENT_11A09> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A09>

Comments on INVESTOR:

<COMMENT_11A10> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A10>

Comments on **STEWARDSHIP**:

<COMMENT_11A11> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A11>

Comments on **STEWARDSHIP ACTIVITY**:

<COMMENT_11A12> ENTER RESPONSE HERE <COMMENT_11A12>

GENERAL COMMENTS

General comments on Exposure Draft:

<COMMENT_12A00>

There has been a proliferation of investment products with ESG features where approaches have ranged from exclusions to engagement, active to passive, and across various asset classes and geographies. In many instances, we have noticed that ESG labelled investment products have not offered enough clarity on objectives, process and outcomes. In order to provide sufficient levels of information for evaluation of these investment products, to develop an understanding of 'intended objectives' both financial and ESG, and to ensure a level of comparability across issuers and jurisdictions, we believe that more transparency and consistency is required. The proposed draft disclosure standards put forward by the CFA Institute assist in accomplishing the first two objectives, however we are unsure how easily comparable the proposed disclosure documents will be for end-users. A text-heavy document that is structured differently by each investment manager, may not be easily comparable, particularly if a prospective client is evaluating many products at once, while also navigating other 'traditional' product related disclosures. To make it more easily comparable, the CFA Institute could consider word limits in each section and suggest a structure and/or provide a template for compliant presentations. This would also prevent issuers from being overly wordy or using jargon to obfuscate the important details in the presentation.

As previously mentioned above, the voluntary nature of the outlined product disclosures calls into question how effective it will be at increasing transparency than if disclosure standards were mandated by regulators. However, the challenge with individual regulators taking on disclosure standards is that they would likely differ from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. While this would improve responsiveness, it might make comparability more difficult. In an ideal scenario, disclosure standards would be consistent across regions while being mandated by regulators. In the absence of this happening at this moment, we are of the view that the CFA's proposed draft disclosure standards are a positive development and are not overly onerous for asset managers offering investment products with ESG features. <COMMENT_12A00>